

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/852,940	05/10/2001	Debra Sue Caswell	8082M	5827
27752 7590 04/28/2004		EXAMINER		
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			HARDEE, JOHN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	
CINCINNATI, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/852,940	CASWELL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John R. Hardee	1751			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. maintains of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-64 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,5-15,25-54 and 56 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,4,17-24 and 55 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	-64 is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.			
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant is reminded that a species election remains in effect. The examiner has examined and found elected Example 10 to be allowable. Example 11, however, is not allowable. The claims have been searched and examined only to the extent that they read on this claim. Claims 2, 5-15, 25-54 and 56-64 remain withdrawn from consideration by the examiner as being drawn to embodiments non-elected with traverse.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 17-24 and 55 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bacon et al., US 5,500,138. The reference discloses liquid and solid biodegradable, rinse-added fabric softening compositions with highly enduring substantive perfumes. While many of applicant's perfume limitations can be met by this reference, it is not clear what is in the perfume of Example 11, so the perfume limitations have been withdrawn from consideration. Compositions according to the invention comprise about 50-95% of biodegradable cationic fabric softening compound, which is preferably diester; 0.01-15% of an enduring perfume composition; up to 30% of a dispersibility modifier and a liquid carrier (col. 2, lines 4+). Liquid compositions according to the invention comprise about 0.5-80% of quat, about 0.01-10% of perfume,

Art Unit: 1751

up to 30% of dispersibility modifier and a liquid carrier. Suitable liquid carriers include polyhydric alcohols of 2-6 carbons, making obvious the use of six-carbon diols (col. 3, lines 15-16). Applicant's Example 11 contains about 5.5% of hexylene glycol. Water may be added, but amounts are generally low (col. 3, lines 40+). As water is optional, it would be obvious to formulate a nil-water composition. Suitable quats are disclosed at col. 3, lines 59+. The viscosity, phase transition and flash point limitations appear to be met because the recited chemical limitations have been met. The Office does not have the facilities to determine such. Note the diester quat at col. 6, line 32. Suitable dispersibility modifiers are of the form shown at col. 13, lines 43+. Regarding claim 24, applicant's specification does not make clear whether or not the composition of Example 11 is translucent or clear but, because this composition can be made by following the teachings of the reference, it would be obvious to make a clear or translucent composition if this composition is clear or translucent. Claim 55 is drawn to the intended use of a rinse added fabric softener, and therefore it does not define the invention over the prior art. This reference differs from the claimed subject matter in that it does not disclose a composition which reads on applicant's claims with sufficient specificity to constitute anticipation.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to make such a composition, because this reference teaches that all of the ingredients recited by applicants are suitable for inclusion in a surfactant composition. The person of ordinary skill in the surfactant art would expect the recited compositions to have properties similar to those compositions which are exemplified, absent a showing to the contrary.

Art Unit: 1751

In the case where the claimed ranges overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art, a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists. *In re Wertheim*, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed Cir. 1990).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Applicant's elected composition is allowable over the prior art of record. Reasons are of record in the first office action.
- 5. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

 Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1751

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, may be reached at (571) 272-1316.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John R. Hardee Primary Examiner

April 26, 2004